24

25

acceptable for her to stay at the counsel table for that or

would like to show Ms. Lee, and I wonder whether it's

25

whether you intend to call her to the witness stand. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, if you're going to be asking questions of her, I'm going to ask her at the witness stand 3 4 under oath. 5 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Okay. 6 THE COURT: In which case, you can use the documents 7 as exhibits. Are these documents that have been on file? I want to know if Ms. Lee has received those documents 8 9 in some shape or form in the past. 10 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Yes. These are all documents that 11 are in the docket, and they are largely documents that Ms. Lee 12 filed in this case. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Lee, do you have any questions 14 before me start? 15 MS. LEE: No, your Honor, but I would like to make a statement after I'm sworn in. 16 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. LEE: After I'm sworn in. 18 19 THE COURT: After you're sworn in? 20 MS. LEE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you come up and take 22 the witness stand. 23 MS. LEE: Can I bring my papers? 24 THE COURT: Yes.

MS. LEE: Okay.

NM8DLeeH Lee 1 THE COURT: Why don't you get yourself settled. 2 MS. LEE: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Do you want some water? 4 MS. LEE: I could take some. Thank you so much for 5 asking. 6 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to swear in 7 Ms. Lee, and she said she does have a statement she wishes to make, so I will allow her to make that statement. 8 9 I think it would be best to do it in that order, and 10 then you will have heard what she has to say and examine 11 accordingly. 12 So, Ms. Lee, please raise your right hand. 13 (Witness sworn) 14 MS. LEE: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please put down your hand, and why don't you proceed 16 17 with your statement. 18 ERIKA LEE, 19 Plaintiff pro se, called as a witness by herself 20 21 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY THE WITNESS: 25 MS. LEE: Okay. First, I am the plaintiff here, your NM8DLeeH

Lee

Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Slow down, a little more slowly, so that the court reporter can get it all down. You are closer now, so hopefully it will be louder.

MS. LEE: Okay. First, I am the plaintiff here, and I and only I have written every paper and brief filed in this case and written all discovery documents served on Delta.

Assir's only involvement in this case is that Aasir is a witness per the complaint, and that Aasir served Jose Rosado and David Needham on August 8th since Ira doesn't believe

Cheline Byrd is a real person, and I know Ira is not -
THE COURT: When you are saying "Ira," are you

THE COURT: When you are saying "Ira," are you referring to Mr. Rosenstein?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. Mr. Rosenstein.

Okay. Since Mr. Rosenstein doesn't believe Cheline Byrd is a real person, and I know Mr. Rosenstein cannot deny that Aasir is a real person. And while I have no testimony to offer to corroborate Mr. Rosenstein's 100 percent false criminal accusations, which are based on speculation, however, because Delta and/or Delta attorneys are and have been making criminal accusations against me and have previously requested referrals for criminal prosecution regarding these same issues Delta's attorneys requested this hearing for, I am invoking my Fifth Amendment privilege as to any questions related to and involving Aasir Azzarmi, and/or these false criminal

NM8DLeeH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

accusations that somebody is ghost writing for me.

Also, Aasir is suing Mr. Rosenstein for a 1983 civil rights violation, and it's improper for Mr. Rosenstein to use my case as a venue or for obtaining discovery involving Aasir. Mr. Rosenstein's conspired to get Aasir falsely arrested, and Delta's attorneys requested that Rosanda Brown and Aasir Azzarmi be referred for criminal prosecution based on these same allegations that they are now manufacturing against me.

So I have a reasonable belief that Delta's motives for these evidentiary hearings are solely for criminal prosecution. This is their standard MO, and -- as this is what they do to employees who have merited cases. But while I am invoking the Fifth Amendment privilege on these false criminal accusations that Delta's attorneys are making against me, I am here to testify about my merits of my case. And I brought evidence of my similarly situated, non-black comparators, who were treated differently by Delta than I was.

So Mr. Rosenstein is welcome to question me about my knowledge of my own case and the facts that I wrote in the second amended verified complaint, because Mr. Rosenstein's false accusations of my Fifth Amendment rights to Mr. Rosenstein's manufactured criminal accusations does not preclude me from testifying about the fact related to my case and my second amended verified complaint.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Just so you understand, we're not addressing the merits today.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: But I understand what you have said. I will allow Mr. Rosenstein to addresses anything he wants to that he's heard or proceed directly into examination. If you have a view on the defendant -- I'm sorry, the plaintiff's assertion of Fifth Amendment privilege in this context, I welcome that as well.

MR. ROSENSTEIN: I'll proceed --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROSENSTEIN: -- and see how it plays out.

THE COURT: Okay.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. ROSENSTEIN:

- Q. Good morning, Ms. Lee.
- 19 A. Good morning.
- 20 | Q. Did you write the speech that you just read to the Court
- 21 | yourself?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Okay. And tell me, what's your understanding of the Fifth
- 24 | Amendment? What is that?
- 25 A. The Fifth Amendment is a right to not incriminate myself,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which means that when I testify against -- when I testify to something, it needs to be -- it may incriminate me, so I'm going to testify to the merits of my case, that I wrote my case and that -- just a minute. And that I don't want you to try to railroad me into a criminal action at some point by discussing other facts of someone else's case or them into another case as well, so I will be pleading the Fifth, also maintaining -- not saying anything in regard to that.

- Q. What's your understanding of the meaning of the term "incriminate" in a legal proceeding?
- Incriminate, just so you can get trumped up charges against me, it's not knowing that might incriminate me per se of me actually doing something illegal, but you can also just imply whatever you want. You turned a lot of things around already, and I can prove that.

THE COURT: Let's not worry about having the witness interpret legal terms or constitutional terms. If there is propriety in invoking the Fifth, she will do so. If not --

MR. ROSENSTEIN: My purpose is not to -- is not to test her legal knowledge, but to follow up on the question of who wrote the presentation.

THE COURT: So let's do that.

I'm going to show you a document that you've submitted in this case and that you refer to in your presentation, and this is a document that is --

- A. Thank you.
- Q. -- docket 58. Can you -- there's an email and then there's a document underneath it.
 - Can you identify the attached document -- not the email, but the attached document?
- 6 | A. Yes.

1

4

5

- Q. You said no?
- 8 A. I said yes. I have it.
- 9 Q. Can you identify what it is?
- 10 A. Yes. It's my second amended verified complaint.
- 11 Q. And it's your sworn testimony here that you drafted this
- 12 complaint yourself, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. What caused you to submit a second amended verified
- 15 complaint in this case?
- 16 A. I took out -- I added David and Jose -- David Needham, Jose
- 17 Rosado, and I also added 1981, and whistleblowers, and deleted
- 18 the breach of contract.
- 19 | Q. Okay. Why did you make those changes?
- 20 A. I made the changes because you stated that the -- there was
- 21 | a timeframe for one that was over the intentional infliction of
- 22 | emotional distress. I had a statute of limitations of one
- 23 | year, and the negligent infliction of emotional distress is
- 24 | three years statute of limitations.
- 25 | Q. I'm going to show you a -- just for the record, what role,

- 1 if any, did Mr. Azzarmi play in drafting the amended complaint? 2 The amended or the second amended? THE COURT: 3 MR. ROSENSTEIN: The second amended. Thank you, your 4 Honor. 5 A. I will be invoking my Fifth Amendment objection. Objection, Fifth Amendment. 6 7 What is the basis of your objection? 8 Well, the basis of my objection -- because I already told you that I won't be speaking about Aasir Azzarmi. I'll be 9 10 talking about the case, so you won't try to serve criminal 11 prosecution against me, because my case has merit, to try to railroad me into something, because, you know, I'm defending 12 13 myself. But also we can use objection, and asked, answered, 14 because I did give you information in my statement beforehand. 15 Ο. Okay. I'm going to show you a document that was also filed 16 in this case. 17 Thank you. Α. 18 Ο. This is at Docket No. 27. 19 Are you familiar with this document? 20 It's rather old, though. Α. 21 Did you write this document? Q. 22 I wrote all documents for my case. Objection, asked, 23 answered.
- 24 What is the document? THE COURT: 25 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Docket 27. It's the response to

NM8DLeeH

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lee - Cross

- defendant's motion to dismiss, request for notice of conversion
 to Rule 56 motion.
- Q. You cite a lot of cases in this document, would you agree with me?
 - A. Give me a moment to look. It's been some time, and I've been looking on a lot of case -- on the case in California as well.
 - Q. Do you recall? The question is just, you cite a lot of cases in this document?

Take your time if you need it.

- A. Yeah. I am reading it. I'm reading it over again. You know, that's -- you know, well, and I'm -- okay. So for evidence, yes, I cite a lot. I cite cases.
- Q. Okay. And how do you go about researching to find those cases? What's your methodology?
- A. Well, I've used Google, and I just go in and look at defendant Delta and/or whatever may be -- Delta Air Lines, and then, after that, I can look at the case, certain cases or anything in regard to say like the law, I'll go in and Google the law and search all the cases, or not all the cases, but cases that will come up on Google. And then I will look and see like what happened during that case and see if it pertains to what I'm suing Delta for.

And then sometimes you have to purchase the case, because they'll only give you a little bit of information, and

- 1 | then I might go through Pacer.
- 2 Q. Okay. Do you have any other sources of research available
- 3 | to you other than Google?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- $5 \parallel 0$. What else?
- 6 A. Nolo Law, I go on there.
- 7 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 8 A. Nolo Law.
- 9 Q. Nolo Law?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Anything else?
- 12 A. There's plenty of books I've looked to pertaining to my
- 13 case. There's YouTube. They tell you beginning and end how to
- 14 start, begin a case, how to start it. Even TikToks, as well as
- 15 | every social media has information on how to get started and
- 16 | what company -- the whole process you need to do, how to win a
- 17 | case with a 30(b)(6).
- 18 | Q. This document that you wrote and filed, what was it about?
- 19 | What was it -- what was the subject area that you were arguing?
- 20 Do you know, without looking at it, before you look at
- 21 | it?
- 22 A. This is from January, sir.
- 23 | Q. Right, but it is this year, so I'm just wondering what you
- 24 know about this document, without reading it.
- 25 A. No. I do know about it.

Q. So tell me about it.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

A. But I can't give you an argument about it right now.

THE COURT: So he's not asking you to have an argument.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: He's just asking you what you know about it without reading it, and you only know what you know. Then maybe he'll go through it, but he's just asking you what you know about it right now, without reading it. It's okay not to remember things.

11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would need to read over it.

- Q. Right. Well, if you read the front of it, it says,
- Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Request for Notice
 of Conversion to Rule 56 Motion.
- What's your understanding of -- does that help refresh your recollection as to why you submitted this?
- 17 A. No. That's why I'm telling you, I need to read it, read it over.
- 19 Q. That's fine.
- 20 A. Objection, asked, answered.
- 21 Q. I heard your answer.
- What is a Rule 56 motion?
- 23 A. I didn't read it.
- 24 Q. No.
- 25 | THE COURT: He's asking you right now, without reading

1 | it.

- 2 | Q. What is a Rule 56 motion?
- 3 A. I need to read it.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine.
- 5 What is res judicata? Do you know what that is?
- 6 A. Yes. Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . What is that?
- 8 A. When I sued Delta, this is my -- you know, my
- 9 interpretation.
- 10 | Q. Sure.
- 11 A. When I sued Delta for -- in the court, in Federal Court in
- 12 | California, I sued them for the merits of my case. The judge,
- 13 | that judge, dismissed my case, not for the merits but for
- 14 | jurisdiction per se. So my case has not been completely
- 15 dismissed, because it still has merit. But it's more like it
- 16 was in the wrong location when I, you know, got situated with
- 17 | that.
- So right now, you know, that's what that's for. So
- 19 | it's not for my merits. It's just more for the jurisdiction.
- 20 | Q. Are you familiar with a United States Supreme Court case
- 21 | called Semtek International v. Lockheed Martin?
- 22 A. I use that as a reference, yes.
- 23 | Q. And what is Rule 41(b), without looking?
- 24 | A. I have to look at that.
- 25 Q. You don't know that?

- A. I don't know that offhand.
- 2 | Q. That's fine.
- 3 A. I don't know anything offhand except what I'm doing today,
- 4 because I --
- 5 Q. You said you used the Semtek case. What way did you use
- 6 | it?

- 7 A. As a reference. I referenced it.
- 8 Q. You referenced it and for why? Why did you choose that
- 9 case? Without looking. I'm asking for your memory.
- 10 A. No. I need to look at it.
- 11 | Q. That's fine. If you can't answer my question -- as the
- 12 | judge told you, if you can't answer a question without looking
- 13 | at the document, then you should just tell me you can't answer
- 14 | it. But I still don't want you looking at the document.
- 15 A. Why did you give it to me again?
- 16 THE COURT: Sorry. No. So, look, he's going to be
- 17 | asking you questions, and, again, if you don't know without
- 18 | looking at it, you can say "I don't know without looking at
- 19 | it." That's fine. If he wants you to look at it at a later
- 20 | time, he will --
- 21 A. Okay. I don't know without looking at it.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- If you look at the document now, in Exhibit One that
- 24 | you have -- I guess it's the second exhibit, sort of towards
- 25 | the middle there's a declaration. It's page 11 of 35 on the

- 1 | top, and it's a declaration of Erika L. Lee.
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. You signed that document, on the page that's listed
- 4 | as 13?

- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. That's your signature? It looks different than other
- 7 | signatures I've seen of yours, and that's why I'm asking you.
 - A. Yes. I used a CamScanner, so that is with my finger.
- 9 Q. And why did you use a CamScanner to sign?
- 10 If you wrote it, why didn't you just sign it while you 11 had it right --
- 12 A. Well, you know, when you -- you put the document on pdf,
- and then I put it -- upload it on CamScanner, and then I used
- 14 | the CamScanner signature, where you use your finger.
- 15 Q. I'm just wondering if you wrote this document. You had it
- on your laptop, correct?
- 17 | A. I had -- yes.
- 18 Q. And so why use CamScanner to sign it if it was on your
- 19 | laptop? Why not just sign it like you have all the other
- 20 documents that you've submitted in this case?
- 21 | A. Well, that -- I'm sorry. Because if it's on my computer,
- 22 | how do you sign your computer? You put it on -- you upload it
- 23 onto the CamScanner, and then they give you the option to put
- 24 | your initials or your whole signature. I chose to use my
- 25 | initials.

- Q. Okay. And this is a document that we've established in prior hearings before this Court that has an incorrect address
- 4 A. Yeah.

3

Q. It says 100 Confucius Plaza, not 20 Confucius Plaza,

of where you live on the first page, correct?

- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Yeah. That was an error.
- Q. Your testimony under oath now is that you wrote your own address incorrectly on that? That's a typo? Correct?
- 10 \parallel A. That was a typo.
- 11 Q. Okay. And it's your testimony that Mr. Azzarmi did not
- 12 write this document and have you sign it via CamScanner,
- 13 | correct?

- 14 A. I wrote my own documents.
- Q. Mr. Azzarmi did not write this document and have you sign it by CamScanner; is that your testimony?
- 17 A. Asked -- objection, asked, answered.
 - I wrote my own documents.
- 19 Q. I'm asking you about this specific document.
- 20 | THE COURT: He's asking you a more specific question.
- 21 A. This is my work.
- 22 Q. I didn't ask you that. I asked whether you wrote this
- 23 document and signed it with an incorrect address and the
- 24 CamScanner signature or whether Mr. Azzarmi wrote it and had
- 25 you sign it.

NM8DLeeH

Lee - Cross

- A. The address was an answer -- I used the CamScanner and initialed my document after I uploaded it with the CamScanner, and I can only admit -- I can only do what I can do. And I --
 - Q. I'm asking whether Mr. Azzarmi wrote this document and asked you to sign it. Yes or no?
- 7 A. He did not.

this is --

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, it's your testimony that the cases that are cited in here were all located using Google, correct?
- 11 A. Google, Pacer.
 - Q. Attached to the back of Exhibit One appears to be an exhibit that you have in front of you, which I guess is Exhibit Two, a document that was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.
 - Do you see that?
- 17 | A. Exhibit -- which one?
- 18 | Q. I think it's page 14 of 35.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 | A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Okay. This document reflects that case that you had in
- 22 California prior to the case that is here in New York, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes. March 1st, 2022 --
- 24 | 0. Did Mr. -
- 25 A. -- this was filed.

- 1 | Q. Did Mr. Azzarmi assist you in any of the filings or legal
- 2 work that you did in the California case referred to in the
- 3 document that I just showed you?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 | Q. Did you have any -- prior to bringing the cases against
- 6 Delta, did you have any background in legal proceedings? Had
- 7 | you ever worked in a law office or as a paralegal?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you have any legal training of any kind?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. And remind me what your last level of education was?
- 12 A. I have a Bachelor's in Science of Business, with a
- 13 concentration in marketing. I also have a nursing degree.
- 14 | Q. Okay. You said that you wrote the documents that were
- 15 | filed here on a laptop, correct?
- 16 | A. Yeah. Laptop, iPad, phone, they're all pdf.
- 17 | Q. But the briefs and pleadings that are lengthy, you didn't
- 18 write those on your phone, correct?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Would that have been written on a laptop?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And was it the same laptop that you've had this year
- 23 | and since January of 2023, or do you have a different one?
- 24 A. Well, I have more than one.
- 25 | Q. How many laptops do you have?

- 1 A. I have two.
- 2 | Q. Okay. And do you write your papers in this case on both of
- 3 | the laptops, or do you use only one for that purpose?
- 4 A. I use both.
- 5 Q. You use both.
- And do you still have those, both laptops?
- 7 | A. I do.
- 8 Q. And have you deleted any of the documents that you wrote on
- 9 | those laptops from your hard drive on those laptops?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You have?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Oh, okay. All of the documents have been deleted from your
- 14 | laptop?
- 15 A. No, they have not.
- 16 | O. Which ones have been deleted?
- 17 | A. It's not more or less deleted. More or less transferred to
- 18 | an external drive.
- 19 | Q. Okay. And do you still have the external drive?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And is the laptop where you wrote the papers in this
- 22 | case something that you have access to right now?
- 23 A. No, I do not have access to it right now.
- 24 | Q. Now, in this courtroom, but in your -- one of your
- 25 residences? Do you have access to it at a residence or a place

- 1 where you reside?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You do. Okay. And where -- which residence are the
- 4 | laptops residing right now?
- 5 A. My New York City residence.
- 6 | Q. Is that 20 Confucius Plaza?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And where is the hard drive that you described that
- 9 you have transferred some documents to?
- 10 A. 20 Confucius Plaza.
- 11 Q. Same place?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. What's the brand of the hard drive?
- 14 A. Western Digital maybe? I'm not sure.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And does anybody else have access to your laptops or
- 16 | the hard drive besides yourself?
- 17 A. Anyone who comes to my home to -- who asks to use my
- 18 | laptop, yes.
- 19 | Q. Okay. But, in general, do you loan out your laptop to
- 20 other people?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Does it leave your home on a regular basis or --
- 23 A. No. It leaves my home with me.
- 24 | Q. It leaves your home. Have you ever given your laptop to
- 25 Mr. Azzarmi?

- 1 A. I have not.
- 2 Q. Okay. Have you ever given access to your hard drive to
- 3 Mr. Azzarmi?
- 4 A. No, I have not.
- 5 | Q. Have you ever given access to your email address to
- 6 Mr. Azzarmi?
- 7 A. No, I have not.
- 8 Q. Okay. You said that you have used something called
- 9 | CamScanner.
- 10 | Am I remembering that right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What is CamScanner?
- 13 A. It is a pdf file maker. So you take a picture of a
- 14 document, and it turns it into a pdf.
- 15 | Q. Is it a physical thing or is it an application program?
- 16 A. It's an application.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And where -- you downloaded CamScanner onto your
- 18 | laptop?
- 19 | A. No. You download it to your phone and you transfer
- 20 objects. When you make the pdf, you make the pdf and you send
- 21 | them to your email or to your wherever.
- 22 | Q. Well, do you need to use your phone to take a physical
- 23 picture of a document?
- 24 A. You can use anything that takes pictures to use it, or you
- 25 can use a printer or scanner as well.

- 1 | Q. Okay.
- 2 A. And it can read it through the CamScanner application.
- 3 | Q. Where does the program reside in your possession? Is it on
- 4 | your phone? Is it --
- 5 A. From my phone. It's an application you can put on your
- 6 phone. It's on my phone.
- 7 | Q. In your case, it's on your phone?
- 8 A. Yes. And when you take the picture of the printed
- 9 document, such as this, you then forward it to your computer or
- 10 | laptop.
- 11 | Q. Is a record sent when you use CamScanner on your phone?
- 12 A. A record?
- 13 | Q. Like a receipt or an acknowledgment that it was sent?
- 14 | A. No, it's not.
- 15 | Q. You don't receive an email that says "your scan went
- 16 | through" or something of that nature?
- 17 A. No. It's not a fax machine.
- 18 | Q. I didn't ask whether it was a fax machine. Just a yes or
- 19 \parallel no will do.
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. And did you keep any record personally of the times that
- 22 | you used CamScanner yourself?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Where does that reside?
- 25 A. It's on my laptop and my phone.

- 1 | Q. On your laptop and your phone?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Can I ask, why do you have two laptops? Is there one for
- 4 one purpose and one for another purpose?
- 5 A. One is older and one is newer.
- 6 Q. Yeah. Do you recall which laptop you used to write the
- 7 | second amended complaint?
- 8 A. No. Maybe the newer one, but I --
- 9 Q. I don't want you to guess. If you remember, tell me. If
- 10 | you don't, just tell me you don't and that's fine.
- 11 | A. I don't remember.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Now, going back to the second amended complaint, you
- 13 | testified that you had added two individuals, Jose Rosado and
- 14 David Needham, to the second amended complaint, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. And you testified that it was your decision to do that,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you understood you needed to serve them with a
- 20 copy of the complaint, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. What's your understanding of how you serve somebody?
- 23 How do you go about serving an individual?
- 24 A. There are two ways to serve. Well, I -- we send it through
- 25 | the mail. I've done that before for you. And you send it

personally to that individual, like to a job, or their last known address, or the address that you see for them.

- Q. Okay. And what did you do to determine where to serve Mr.
- 4 Rosado and Mr. Needham?
 - A. LinkedIn.
- Q. Okay. And walk us through how you implemented a service of
- 7 process of Mr. Needham and Mr. Rosado. You can do it one at a
- 8 | time.

3

- 9 A. Okay. So I prepared the second amended complaint, put it
- 10 | in an envelope, gave it to Aasir, and he -- she took it to
- 11 | their location of work and presented it to -- for David,
- 12 | Aretha, it is a lady. I have video documentation of this. And
- 13 | for -- no, that was for David. Yeah. David was Aretha. The
- 14 | lady came out of her office and buzzed her in -- him -- her in,
- 15 and took the file in, in an envelope.
- And for Dave, went in his office -- there was like I
- 17 | quess a security quard or a person that sits at the front desk.
- 18 | Took the object, and said that -- oh, David Needham, that's our
- 19 | HR rep. So they confirmed that he was the HR rep. there. And
- 20 | I also have video confirmation of those both of these. If you
- 21 want to show me how to send it, I can send it.
- 22 | Q. How do you know all of what happened? You weren't present,
- 23 were vou?
- 24 A. I have the video.
- 25 Q. Who took the video?

- 1 A. Aasir.
- 2 | Q. Who is Aasir?
- 3 A. Oh, that's what I call Mr. Azzarmi.
- 4 | Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Sorry.
- 6 Q. When did this take place to your knowledge?
- 7 A. Oh, yeah. It was -- hold on. I know the date.
- 8 | Q. What are you looking at?
- 9 A. I was looking to see when -- I believe it was the -- it was
- 10 | this month.
- 11 Q. The month of August?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And did you ask Mr. Azzarmi to serve Mr. Needham and Mr.
- 14 Rosado?
- 15 A. Yeah. I wanted them re-served.
- 16 | Q. Why did you ask Mr. Azzarmi to do that?
- 17 | A. He had a -- he had an opportunity -- she had an
- 18 opportunity.
- 19 Q. Can you expand on what that means, "she had an
- 20 opportunity?"
- 21 A. Availability. And I didn't want there to be any
- 22 | information -- any, as you said -- you said that Cheline Byrd
- 23 | is not a real person, doesn't have an internet presence, and I
- 24 | know you have a history with Azzarmi.
- 25 | Q. That's why you chose Mr. Azzarmi to serve the papers on

- 1 Mr. Needham and Mr. Rosado?
- 2 A. And as well as availability.
- 3 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Azzarmi volunteer to do it or did you seek
- 4 | him out?
- $5 \parallel A$. I asked.
- 6 Q. And does Mr. Azzarmi live with you on 20 Confucius Plaza?
- 7 A. No, they do not live there with me. They do come and
- 8 visit.
- 9 | Q. Do you know where -- let me back up for a second.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You've been using different pronouns for --
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- the person we call Azzarmi. I understand just generally
- 14 | that that person may prefer to be described using the "she"
- 15 pronoun. Is that your understanding as well?
- 16 A. That is correct. That is correct.
- 17 | Q. So I apologize in advance if I did not use that pronounce,
- 18 | but I'm just trying to use the name "Azzarmi" without pronouns
- 19 | if I can, so without being rude or coming across as rude.
- 20 So when you talked to Azzarmi, you said that Azzarmi
- 21 comes and goes. Do you know where he resides -- do you know
- 22 where Azzarmi resides presently?
- 23 A. As far as I know, California.
- 24 Q. You talked about somebody named Cheline Byrd, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Cheline Byrd is somebody that you knew earlier in your
- 2 | life? It is a real person, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. And Cheline Byrd is somebody that you knew for
- 5 several years, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And she lives -- where does she live?
- 8 A. She was living at 1 East 35th Street.
- 9 Q. And isn't it true that you used to live at 1 East 35th
- 10 | Street?
- 11 A. It is true.
- 12 | Q. Did you live with her there?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. And how is it that she's living in a place you used to
- 15 | live? Is there a coincidence or is there a story about that?
- 16 A. There is a story behind it, but she needed to stay there at
- 17 | the time.
- 18 | Q. Do you own that apartment?
- 19 | A. No, I do not.
- 20 | Q. Do you have the ability to sublease it to another
- 21 | individual?
- 22 | A. No, I do not.
- 23 | Q. Do you have any kind of work relationship with Cheline
- 24 Byrd?
- 25 A. No, no work relationship.

- 1 Q. Where does Cheline Byrd work, if you know?
- 2 A. She does not work.
- 3 | Q. How old is Cheline Byrd?
- 4 A. I believe she is 41.
- 5 | Q. How long have you known Cheline Byrd?
- 6 A. Over 20 years.
- 7 | Q. Can you describe her?
- 8 A. She is a black female, a little darker skinned than me, and
- 9 about my height, thinner than me -- about the same size as me.
- 10 | Q. Does she have any legal training to your knowledge?
- 11 A. To my knowledge, she does not.
- 12 | Q. And did you ask her to serve documents for you?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- 14 | Q. Why did you choose to do that?
- 15 A. At that moment, she was available.
- 16 | Q. And did she report to you whether she had served documents?
- 17 A. Yes, she did.
- 18 | Q. What did she tell you?
- 19 A. She said she served the documents.
- 20 | Q. Did she tell you how she served them?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did she tell you?
- 23 | A. She told me that she had mailed out the two items that I
- 24 | prepared. And then she said that she went to Farmingdale, New
- 25 York, to present one, and that would be I believe David

- Needham's, and went to the airport to serve the other, which was Jose Rosado.
- Q. Did she tell you that she had personally served Mr. Needham
- 4 in Farmingdale, New York, on July 14, 2023?
- 5 A. Yes, she did.
- 6 Q. And did she tell you how she personally served him?
- 7 A. Yes. I believe she said that she knocked on the door and 8 she left it with a woman there, but --
- 9 Q. So she did not personally serve Mr. Needham; is that right?
- 10 A. Not personally to him, but personal to his address at that 11 time.
- Q. Okay. So when the -- if you take a look at -- I'm going to show you another document that's marked as -- we can mark as the third exhibit.
- MR. ROSENSTEIN: If I could give the witness another document?
- 17 | THE COURT: Sure. You can hand it to my deputy.
- Q. So you're familiar with these documents, these proofs of service, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you filed them with the court, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And you knew that when you filed the proof of service on
- 24 Mr. Needham that it was inaccurate, correct?
- 25 A. It is not incorrect, because there's still a personal

- 1 | service even if she didn't put it in his direct hand.
 - Q. That's your view of the law?
- I just want to make sure I understand why you're saying that.
- Is that correct, that's your understanding?
- A. That is my -- not necessarily my understanding, but he did receive it, because he reported it back to you.
- 8 | Q. Okay.

- 9 | A. Okay.
- 10 Q. It says, "I personally served the summons on the
- 11 | individual, " and the individual, you'd agree with me, is David
- 12 | Needham, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So wouldn't you agree with me that that is an inaccurate
- 15 | document?
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 | Q. Okay.
- THE COURT: Mr. Rosenstein, which document is that?
- 19 | First of all, who is that signed by, that one?
- 20 MR. ROSENSTEIN: That is signed by Cheline Byrd.
- 21 THE COURT: Is there a way to identify it as a
- 22 document in the docket?
- 23 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Yes. It is Docket Entry 63.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. ROSENSTEIN: Page two.

- Q. I'm going to show you another document, which will be the
- 2 | fourth --
- 3 THE COURT: Fourth?
- 4 | Q. Fourth exhibit.
- 5 A. Thank you.
- Q. And this is a document in the docket as docket 64, and it's
- 7 | a letter from me to the Court with attachments.
- 8 Do you recall receiving this letter, and the
- 9 | attachments?
- 10 A. I'm sorry. I'm looking at a Rosanda Brown v. Delta Air
- 11 | Lines --
- 12 | Q. Just look at the first page of the email, of the document,
- 13 where it's a letter dated July 26 to Judge Lehrburger.
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And so my question is, do you recall receiving that
- 17 | letter with the attachments? And, again, if you do, you do.
- 18 | If you don't, you don't.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | O. You don't recall that?
- 21 | A. Huh-uh. I don't receive -- I did not receive this in the
- 22 mail.
- 23 | Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I did. I have it here. Yeah. Go ahead.
- 25 | I'm sorry. I had to read it.

- Q. When you say you have it here, does that mean you have a
- 2 copy of it in your physical possession?
- 3 A. Not -- yes, over there I believe.
- 4 | Q. Okay. You're saying, just to be clear on the record, you
- 5 | brought a copy of that record to court with you today?
- 6 A. Of the letter?
- 7 | Q. Of this letter that I just gave to you, correct?
- 8 A. Hold up. Let me read it.
- 9 | 0. Sure.
- MR. ROSENSTEIN: Could I trouble you for a glass of water?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. You sent me this.
- MR. ROSENSTEIN: Okay. I'm just going to take a second, because I just need a -- thank you so much.
- 15 Q. Okay. And did you read it once you received it?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And the letter you agree refers to your location on
- 18 July 20 and July 19, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Where were you on July 19?
- 21 | A. I was here in New York.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And where were you on July 20?
- 23 A. I was here in New York.
- 24 | Q. Have you been to the State of California in any -- in the
- 25 | last two or three months?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 | Q. When were you in California?
- 3 A. I don't recall at the moment, but I have been in the State
- 4 of California in the month July, but early, earlier.
- 5 Q. Okay. If you look at the Exhibit A to the letter, which is
- 6 the first page of the exhibit --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Do you see it? There's an email from the
- 9 Leeerikalatise@gmail.com to Andrew Frederick.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And is it your testimony that you wrote this email to
- 13 Mr. Frederick on July 19 at 9:09 a.m.?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay.
- 16 | A. Not 9:09 a.m. No -- you're right, 9:09 a.m.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that you filed an
- 18 opposition to Delta's motion to clarify the Court's order in
- 19 | California on July 20?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. And is it your testimony that you drafted that motion for
- 22 | clarification to the California court?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Did Mr. Azzarmi draft that document?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did he help you in drafting that document?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Did you draft that on your laptop?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And did you -- how did you file it in California if
- 6 you were in New York? What did you do?
- 7 A. I did not file it in California. I was here in New York.
- 8 | I typed over an old form, and that was a form error when it
- 9 stated at the bottom for California. That was a form error.
- 10 Q. So the document says that it was filed in California, but
- 11 your testimony here under oath is that that was just a mistake,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 | A. It was a --
- 14 | Q. So how did you file it from New York in California?
- 15 | A. I was -- I uploaded it, to the email.
- 16 | Q. In what system did you upload it into a California court?
- 17 | There was no ability to do that to my knowledge. How did you
- 18 do that?
- 19 A. What are you asking me?
- 20 | Q. Well, how did you file it in California if you were in New
- 21 | York? That's what I'm asking.
- 22 | It was filed on that date?
- 23 | A. What are you asking me did I file? Show me.
- 24 | THE COURT: Let's clarify which document you're
- 25 referring to.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 2 | Q. Take a look at another document that I will give to you and
- 3 we can clear this up I think. This is the sixth exhibit.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Lee?
- 6 | A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the document that is there is filed in this
- 8 court on Thursday, July 20, 5:15 p.m., correct?
- 9 A. Hold on. Okay. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And that's Docket No. 60, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay.
- 13 | THE COURT: What is the document called?
- 14 | Q. And that's plaintiff's response in opposition objection to
- 15 defendant's letter motion for leave to file a motion to stay in
- 16 | ECF 59?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Correct?
- 19 | A. Uh-huh.
- 20 | Q. Do I have that right?
- 21 A. You are correct.
- 22 | Q. And attached to that document on page one of 20, Exhibit A,
- 23 | is another document that was filed by you on the same day,
- 24 July 20th, in California.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And that's Response to Defendant's Harassing Redundant
- 2 | Frivolous Notice of Motion and Motion to Correct Clarification,
- 3 | and that was filed in California, correct?
- 4 A. What page are you on? You said A? One of 20, Exhibit A?
- 5 | Q. It's sort of ten, 12 pages in.
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you see that? It's under Exhibit A?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And that document has an address for you at 1054 East
- 10 | Turmont Street, Carson, California, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. It doesn't use your 20 Confucius Plaza address, correct?
- 13 A. It does not use my California address.
- 14 | Q. And in it you declare that everything in that document is
- 15 | true and correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 | Q. Is that right?
- Okay. And my question, before I hand you this
- 19 | document, was how did you file this document from New York?
- 20 | What methodology?
- 21 A. Oh, you mean -- okay. So for this court, you have to go
- 22 | onto their website to file it. Whereas this one, you have to
- 23 do it through an email.
- 24 THE COURT: Wait. So the first court in your sentence
- 25 you're referring to is California?

1 THE WITNESS: California.

THE COURT: The second one is New York?

3 | THE WITNESS: New York, yes.

- 4 Q. And it's your testimony here under oath that you filed this
- 5 document and served it from the State of New York?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And it's your testimony that Mr. Azzarmi did not have
- 8 | anything to do with filing this?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. And it's your testimony that you wrote this document as
- 11 | well, Exhibit A, in its entirety, correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And you did all the research for it as well,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And all the citations are cases that you located on Google;
- 19 | is that correct?
- 20 A. And Pacer.
- 21 Q. And Pacer. Got it. Got it.
- 22 And in this -- you were able to draft both this
- 23 document and the Needham response in opposition to defendant's
- 24 | letter motion to file more or less on the same day, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. It took you a day to put both of these papers together; is that your testimony?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. It's impressive. What is the document that's called
- 5 | Plaintiff's Response in Opposition Objection to Defendant's
- 6 Letter Motion for Leave to File a Motion to Stay?
 - A. Which one?
- 8 Q. The first one. Without reopening it -- I'm not asking you
- 9 | to read it. It was only a few weeks ago, so I'm sure you
- 10 remember why you wrote it. So what was it? Why were you doing
- 11 | that?

- 12 A. Oh --
- 13 | Q. Well, I'm not asking you to look at it. I'm just asking --
- 14 A. Okay. Well, the reason --
- 15 | Q. Yes. I mean, why did you do it?
- 16 A. I did it because -- because you wanted to stay and I
- 17 | didn't. You wanted to stop the proceedings, the discovery.
- 18 | Q. Yes. And what was your basis for believing that a stay was
- 19 | not warranted? Why did you think that it was inappropriate?
- 20 | A. Because you're here to delay, delay -- your whole thing is
- 21 | about Mr. Azzarmi. Nothing about me and my merits.
- 22 | Q. What was the legal basis for your motion, without reading
- 23 | it? I'd like you to tell us what the legal basis for it was
- 24 | without reading it.
- 25 It looks like you're reading it.

A. I need to read it.

1

4

5

7

8

- 2 | Q. You don't remember? It was only three weeks ago.
- 3 A. Do you know how many I've written in this time period?
 - Q. In the last three weeks you've written a lot of motions.
 - A. Yeah, because I'm reading everything. I'm preparing it.
- 6 Because you're reading everything as well.
 - Q. That's fine.
 - THE COURT: Let's remember here that the witness is a lay witness.
- 10 | THE WITNESS: Exactly, your Honor.
- 11 Q. And what was the basis for the filing you made in
- 12 | California? Why did you file it?
- 13 A. Because Andrew --
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have his last name, your Honor.
- 15 | THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 A. That lawyer, he's trying to open up a closed case that he
- 17 asked to be closed, to be dismissed, and he wants to reopen it.
- 18 You know, I'm getting hit all over the place, and I just want
- 19 my rights to be, you know, honored.
- 20 Q. And what was the legal basis that you relied on in filing
- 21 | that motion?
- 22 A. Filing that motion? Because the judge -- the judge, her
- 23 | honor, should not be able to open that case back up and deny it
- 24 off of the merits when we haven't even discussed the merits for
- 25 | that case. He didn't ask for it to be dismissed based on the

- 1 merits. He asked for it to be dismissed based on a rule. And
- 2 | at the moment, I don't know the rule, but he asked for it on
- 3 | the rule, not the merits of my case.
- 4 | Q. Did you tell Mr. Frederick and the Court that you wanted to
- 5 delay any kind of hearing on that motion, because you were
- 6 | having surgery on August 23rd?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. But are you having surgery on August 23?
- 9 A. It's been delayed.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you know what sua sponte means?
- 11 A. Sua sponte? Is that the indefinite stay?
- 12 Q. Either you know it or you don't know it, but that's fine.
- Do you know what boiler plate means?
- 14 A. Boiler plate? I've seen it used, and that's why I've used
- 15 | it.
- 16 | Q. And how do you use it? What's your understanding of what
- 17 | it means?
- 18 A. The boiler plate? Let me read it.
- 19 | Q. No, I'm not asking you --
- 20 A. No. I need to read it.
- 21 Q. -- in context.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. But off the top of your head, you don't know what it means
- 24 | sitting here now?
- 25 A. No.

Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document that can also be an exhibit.

THE COURT: Exhibit No. 7?

MR. ROSENSTEIN: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. Okay.

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Yes?

3

4

5

6

7

- 9 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 10 | Q. That's okay. And did you write this yourself?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Why?
- 13 A. This is a discovery tool, so I could get the information
- 14 | from you so we can know what we're doing. It saves time for
- 15 | the actual case. And I want -- you want me to tell you what --
- 16 | like the questions I asked, which would be what, the
- 17 | interrogatories?
- 18 | Q. No. I just asked if you knew what the document was.
- 19 | A. Yeah, I do.
- 20 | THE COURT: Mr. Rosenstein, what docket number?
- 21 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Sorry. This is -- these are the
- 22 | discovery requests that were filed in the case, so they are not
- 23 | in the docket, but they are in the docket as exhibits to this
- 24 motion for stay of discovery. So that would be --
- 25 | THE COURT: What's the title of the document?

1 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Plaintiff's Rule 33 Interrogatories 2 and Rule 34 Request for Production of Documents.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ROSENSTEIN: Thank you.

Q. I'm going to send you another document.

THE COURT: Exhibit Eight?

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 9 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

- 10 | Q. Okay. Did you write it?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Did Mr. Azzarmi have anything to do with writing this?
- 13 A. No.
- THE COURT: Are you going to identify the document, please?
- MR. ROSENSTEIN: This is an email. I apologize, your
 Honor.
- Q. This is an email from Erika Lee to me dated July 18, 2023, with an attachment, Plaintiff's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6)
- 20 Deposition.
- 21 What is a 30(b)(6) deposition?
- A. It's another discovery tool, so that Delta can send in a person to represent Delta and I can ask them questions about --
- 24 | well, I'll give you a list of topics -- they can be able to
- answer all the topics I've given them in the Rule 30(b)(6).

- 1 | Q. Did you pay a deposit to a court reporter?
- 2 A. I was in the process of it.
- 3 Q. Well, I didn't ask that.
- 4 A. No, not as of yet.
- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 6 A. You got your stay.
- 7 Q. I'm going to show you another document. It would be
- 8 Exhibit Nine, and this is Docket No. 65.
- 9 Are you familiar with this document?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you draft this?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Sorry. I couldn't hear you.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. You drafted this on your laptop?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And it's still on your laptop?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 | THE COURT: Can you identify the document, please?
- 20 MR. ROSENSTEIN: I had -- it says Document 65 -- oh,
- 21 | you want the name of it. Plaintiff's Response in Opposition
- 22 | and Objection to Defendant's Harassing Letter Regarding Alleged
- 23 | Litigation Conduct in ECF No. 64. Plaintiff Moves for
- 24 | Protective Order under Rule 26.
- THE COURT: Thank you.

- Q. And it's your testimony that you did the research for this filing as well, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And that you found all the cases on Google, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 | Q. Okay.
- 7 A. You'd be surprised.
- 8 Q. How long did it take you to write this document?
- 9 A. A little while.
- 10 | Q. How long is a little while?
- 11 A. Over a day.
- 12 | Q. And what do you base your New York constitutional claims
- 13 on?
- 14 A. In this document?
- 15 Q. In general.
- 16 | A. My constitutional claims? As in amendments? Well, I would
- 17 | like --
- 18 | Q. I mean, you --
- 19 A. Ask me something specific, please.
- 20 THE COURT: Yes. I agree. And you're testing her on
- 21 | legal knowledge. I recognize that these are legal documents
- 22 | and there's claims of legal research, et cetera, but it would
- 23 | not be unreasonable for a lay person to not necessarily recall
- 24 everything about what they research and have written.
- 25 So far the witness has reasonably acquitted herself of

at least providing knowledge of a good many of the documents
that you've been presenting her with. It's obviously not
perfect and certainly not going to be what you or I would

- 4 necessarily remember, but I'm not sure that you're really
- 5 making the headway you would hope to make on this particular
- 6 line of questioning.
- 7 Q. Can you take a look -- you testified earlier that you
- 8 | learned that Delta was contesting service on Mr. Rosado and
- 9 Mr. Needham, correct?
- 10 A. You mean when you said that? I mean --
- 11 Q. Yeah.
- 12 | A. Okay.
- 13 | Q. Well, did you -- it's your testimony. Did you --
- 14 A. Well, yeah, you contested it.
- 15 | Q. Did you learn --
- 16 A. You contested --
- 17 THE COURT: One at a time.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 THE COURT: Ask your question, because I'm not clear
- 20 what the question is.
- 21 | Q. Did you learn on July 26 that Delta was contesting service
- 22 on Mr. Rosado and Mr. Needham from Cheline Byrd, that Delta was
- 23 | saying that this service was not effective?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. You learned that from the letter that Delta submitted to

- 1 | the Court, correct?
- 2 A. Yes. When you stated she was not a real person.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I do have evidence. I can
- 4 | --
- 5 | THE COURT: Just hold onto it for a moment.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 7 Q. Did you engage Mr. Azzarmi at that point to try to reserve
- 8 | him? I think you said you did, right?
- 9 A. I did ask him -- sorry, her. I've known her for a long
- 10 | time before, and the -- I did ask her to redo it so we would
- 11 | not have no issues moving forward.
- 12 | Q. Do you know somebody named Dena Stinnet?
- 13 A. I don't. I don't believe so. I do know of a Vena Stinnet.
- 14 | Q. Did you have any involvement in a lawsuit that Vena Stinnet
- 15 | brought against Delta Air Lines?
- 16 A. Involvement as to what?
- 17 | Q. Did you participate in any way in that lawsuit?
- 18 A. Possibly.
- 19 Q. Did you have access to her complaint and her filings in
- 20 | that case?
- 21 | A. I do not.
- 22 | Q. Did you at any time?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Did you ever serve papers for Ms. Stinnet?
- 25 A. Possibly. I need to look at what you're showing me. I

- 1 | mean, you're asking me these questions and I don't know
- 2 | anything -- you know, can you show me something? I will give
- 3 | you --
- 4 Q. I'm just asking you --
- 5 THE COURT: This is more of a factual nature.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Okay.
 - Q. Do you remember serving Vena Stinnet with any papers?
- 8 A. Serving her?
- 9 | Q. Or serving anybody papers in Vena Stinnet's case?
- 10 A. I might have.
- 11 | Q. And why would you have done that?
- 12 A. To serve documents. I mean, I don't understand why I would
- 13 | --

- 14 | Q. Who asked you to serve papers for Vena Stinnet if that even
- 15 happened?
- 16 | A. That's what I'm saying, I don't recall. But that's what
- 17 | I'm saying, if you can show me something and I look at it and I
- 18 see the name, I will definitely say yes or no I did or did not
- 19 do that.
- 20 | O. That's become clear.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. I'm not asking you as to that?
- 23 | A. Okay.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you need more water?
- 25 | THE WITNESS: I do. I started drinking more water

NM8DLeeH Lee - Cross 1 lately. Thank you, your Honor. 2 MR. ROSENSTEIN: If we could just take a minute break 3 so I can recap --4 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't we take a ten-minute 5 break. 6 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Rosenstein, how much more do you have? 8 MR. ROSENSTEIN: I think that might be it. 9 THE COURT: So you can step down, and we can take a 10 ten-minute break. 11 (Recess taken.) THE COURT: Ms. Lee, come back to the stand. 12 13 Ms. Lee, you are still under oath. 14 Do you understand? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 MR. ROSENSTEIN: I don't have any further questions. 17 THE COURT: I have a few questions. So, first of all, 18 are you familiar with Westlaw or Lexis? 19 THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, I used -- I look at them on 20 the internet. 21 THE COURT: So you have used those? 22 THE WITNESS: More like Cornell Law. 23 THE COURT: Have you used the Westlaw service? 24 THE WITNESS: I might have. I've used a lot of the --

THE COURT: You've used the Lexis service?

1 THE WITNESS: I may have. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And it's been said a couple times today that you live at 20 Confucius Plaza, correct? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: What apartment there do you live at? THE WITNESS: 10-K 6 7 THE COURT: So has Mr. Azzarmi ever lived with you at 8 that apartment? 9 THE WITNESS: Completely lived, no. Has stayed the 10 night, yes. 11 THE COURT: What's the longest period he's stayed 12 there? 13 THE WITNESS: Two to three nights. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And where does he reside? THE WITNESS: California. 15 THE COURT: So there was reference before to the 16 17 service that Mr. Azzarmi made in order to serve Mr. Rosado and Mr. Needham. 18 19 Do you remember that? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Are those proofs of service before 22 the witness, Mr. Rosenstein? 23 MR. ROSENSTEIN: They are not, but they can be. 24 THE COURT: I'd like that, please. 25 So this will be Exhibit Ten.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you recognize those documents, Ms. Lee?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand each of the proofs of service that were filed by you but under the signature of Mr. Azzarmi saying that he made the service on Mr. Rosado and Mr. Needham?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you see at the bottom that

Mr. Azzarmi says that his address is 20 Confucius Plaza, 10-K?

Do you see that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you know why he listed his address as your address?

THE WITNESS: He uses my address for New York.

THE COURT: Okay. Has he used that address on other pleadings that he's filed in other cases?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rosenstein, anything you want to follow up?

MR. ROSENSTEIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lee, thank you.

Is there anything else you wanted to say while you're still up there?

THE WITNESS: Well, I do have evidence that shows that

NM8DLeeH

Lee - Cross

1 they're lying about Cheline not having an internet presence. 2 This is the -- they talked about the screenshot with the Apple 3 product. 4 THE COURT: Are you referring to Ms. Byrd? 5 THE WITNESS: Ms. Byrd, Cheline Byrd, yes. She has an 6 internet presence. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So what's the evidence that you want to --8 9 THE WITNESS: This is a screenshot of a Google.com 10 search engine stating she is a person and she does have an 11 internet presence. 12 THE COURT: All right. We'll mark this as Exhibit 11. 13 THE WITNESS: And this is a --14 THE COURT: Why don't you show this to Mr. Rosenstein. THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 16 And it took 26 seconds to bring that up. And this is 17 an article I would like to present in evidence by Mike Peterson 18 from AppleToolbox.com. And it shows that there is a time zone 19 bug, because he's stating something about a screenshot and it 20 would be too many different places. It was -- and then on the 21 ninth --22 THE COURT: Hold on. So I am marking as Exhibit 12 a printout from -- is this a printout from the internet? 23 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And the title is "There's a Time

Zone Bug in IOS, in --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- iPad IOS. Here's what to do it about it." Last updated May 1st, 2020.

THE WITNESS: And on July 19, I would like to present a Google -- sorry, an Uber receipt, which I received from Uber, for July 19. And it shows that there is a time zone issue, because they sent me the receipt three hours before I took the trip, and I want to present that.

THE COURT: All right. So this is Exhibit 13. It's from Uber receipts, and the subject is your Wednesday morning trip with Uber dated July -- and the date of the email is July 19, 2023, at 9:18:45 a.m. It's to ErikaLee@Hotmail.com.

THE WITNESS: Yes. And you'll see on the third page the times I took the trip, which was three hours in advance.

THE COURT: Okay. It sounds like something conceivably could be going on between New York time and California time.

THE WITNESS: Yes. And this is all related to the merits.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Let's hold on the merits issues.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Rosenstein, is there anything you want to ask about any of those documents?

NM8DLeeH

Lee - Cross

1 MR. ROSENSTEIN: I just want to take a quick look at 2 this last one if I could. 3 THE COURT: Yes. Sure. 4 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Thank you. 5 Nothing further. 6 THE COURT: Okay. You can step down, Ms. Lee. 7 you. MS. LEE: Thank you, your Honor. 8 9 These exhibits you gave me, I keep it? 10 THE COURT: We keep it. THE WITNESS: Okay. Because it's a lot. 11 12 THE COURT: That's all right. 13 Mr. Rosenstein, I would like you to send in a list by 14 number of your exhibits, and it should identify what they are, 15 and ideally send in an electronic copy with the exhibits. 16 Okav? 17 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Absolutely. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 All right. So, Mr. Rosenstein, we had this hearing 20 based on Delta's application. Is there anything else you want 21 to say now that you've taken testimony from Ms. Lee? 22 MR. ROSENSTEIN: Our application, and it speaks for 23 itself, and the concerns that we have and have continued to 24 have in this case persist. There is powerful circumstantial 25 evidence of Mr. Azzarmi's involvement in this case. That

NM8DLeeH

Lee - Cross

circumstantial evidence is evidence that can be considered by the Court, but probative evidence is much more difficult to locate, as we said in prior hearings. The attempt to prove a negative can be very difficult to do. So we've given, I think, the Court information, and really in furtherance of our duties as officers of the Court, that's the reason that we have presented information continually to this Court, because we feel it is our responsibility to do so.

I think the Court has heard testimony and seen documents, and there are additional documents that would provide serious questions about the plausibility of some of the testimony that was heard here today. We're very much willing to provide this Court with additional information based on what we heard today at this hearing if the Court desires it.

This is a motion pending in California on the res judicata issue that has been fully briefed, and it's on submission out to the California Court. That may result in additional dispositive motion practice before this Court, depending on the results of that decision and how quickly it arises, but issues like this and like those raised I think are serious in that they go to the heart of our jurisprudence system. And so we welcome the opportunity to provide additional information if the Court desires it, and we're happy to do so. And the Court can make its own judgments as to how to proceed with that full information.

1 THE COURT: Ms. Lee, is there anything you want to 2 say? 3 MS. LEE: Yes. I would really like to get my -- I 4 would like to get to my case. They're asking a lot of 5 questions about who wrote this or wrote that. I wrote it. 6 came up here, and I believe that I gave to my best ability all 7 the information that you guys asked. I wrote it. I used Google documents. I even have consulted with attorneys in 8 9 regard to this. So this is my information, and you definitely 10 can find all the information that I've gotten from YouTube 11 videos, Nolo Law, Cornell Law. They have even how to win a case with a 30(b)(6). Like all of these -- all of these at 12 13 your fingertips. 14 And am I articulate to say everything like a lawyer? 15 Absolutely not. But I did my research and I would just like my day in court to show the merits of my case. 16 17 THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that. 18 I am still interested in what the California Court will do. 19 20 MS. LEE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: So I'm keeping the stay in place for the 22 moment. 23 MS. LEE: Okay. 24 THE COURT: We'll see how much time passes there. 25 Certainly the Court has it concerns about the extent

NM8DLeeH

Lee - Cross

of Mr. Azzarmi's involvement, if any, in this particular lawsuit. Obviously he was involved in service in some way at the very least. There certainly is circumstantial evidence that much of what has been filed here bears resemblance in one way or another to papers that he has filed, and there have been issues about addresses and things of that nature that all raise questions.

But certainly what I've heard today hasn't established any proof that there was anything illegal or inappropriate that's going on at the moment. There may be, but so far the Court doesn't have it in front of it.

Again, Ms. Lee has adopted and testified that all these pleadings are her own and that Mr. Azzarmi was not involved. Again, maybe he was. Maybe that will prove untruthful. I don't know. But, again, before the Court I don't have anything that would allow me at the moment on the current record to find a particular impropriety. But this will be an issue probably that continues in the case, if the case continues, and at some point it's possible that Mr. Azzarmi may need to make an appearance in this case. But, again, that may depend on circumstances, and, as I think I mentioned last time, there is nothing that prevents pro se plaintiffs from coordinating with each other in different cases, particularly where they were all former employees.

There may be issues about the merits of their cases,

but, again, I don't see that we have sort of a fraudulent or token plaintiff of sorts that might appear in other cases.

Yes, it should not be the case that a ghost writer of sorts creates all the legal pleadings that are submitted in a case, and that might raise concerns, but, again, we don't have that proof yet.

All right. Let me ask, anything else, Mr. Rosenstein?

MR. ROSENSTEIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. Lee?

MS. LEE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: We were adjourned. Thank you.

(Adjourned)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	ERIKA LEE
4	Direct By Ms. Lee 4
5	Cross By Mr. Rosenstein 7
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	